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# Literature Review

The main purpose of this deliverable is to present an extensive review of the relevant literature on the effects of climate change on agriculture, as well as mitigation and adaptation measures that could be taken locally.

### Participants

Six Technical Committee members of the CLICHA consortium participated in the evaluation, as shown in the Table below, using Deliverable Evaluation Form (ANNEX A). AUA, being the Partners responsible for the document, did not participated.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *PARTNERS* | *Number of participants* |
| *P2 – Creative Thinking Development (CRETHIDEV)* | *1* |
| *P3 - National Centre for Scientific Research “Demokritos” (DEMOKRITOS)* | *1* |
| *P4 University of Jendouba (UJ- ESIER)* | *1* |
| *P8 - Centre of Adaptation to Climate Changes (CACC)* | *1* |
| *P9 - Latvia University of Life Sciences and Technologies* *(LLU)* | *1* |
| *P10 - Università degli Studi di Torino (UNITO)* | *1* |
|  | *Total: 6* |

### Results presentation

In the graphs below, the responses from the six participants in the evaluation are presented.

**Comments**

1. The name of authors did not appear in the first page of the deliverable
2. Reviewers name did not appear in the deliverable
3. Corrections of fonts and in style of reference.
4. The authors’ names contributing to the redaction of this literature review are not mentioned
5. The reviewers are not mentioned.
6. Yes and there is an exhaustive list of references
7. Grammar mistakes

### Conclusions and Recommendations

According to the comments and the discussion during the 2nd project meeting in Tunis it was decided to include the name of the organization responsible for the development of the deliverable in the front page and the name of all contributing/reviewing partner organizations in the document history. For the final version of this document, because it was a collective effort, in the front page it is mentioned the consortium as a whole.

# ANNEX: Deliverable Evaluation Form

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Work Package** |  |
| **Deliverable Name** | **Communication and Exploitation Plan**  |
| **Date of Review** |  |
| **Reviewer’s Name (optional)** **Organization (necessary)** |  |

1. **Assessment of Deliverables by the Reviewer**

Mark with X the appropriate column:

| **CONTENTS** | **Y** | **N** | **NA** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Are the contents of the Deliverable adequately clear and understandable? |  |  |  |  |
| Are the contents according to the Application Form description? |  |  |  |  |
| Are the contents according to the project objectives? |  |  |  |  |
| Are the contents according to the work package objectives? |  |  |  |  |
| Are all aspects thoroughly and in depth analyzed? |  |  |  |  |
| Does the Deliverable need the addition of information to reach completeness? |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any sections in the Deliverable that should be removed? |  |  |  |  |
| **FORMAT (for documents)** | **Y** | **N** | **NA** | **Comments** |
| Does the Deliverable contain: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date? |  |  |  |  |
| Does the Deliverable properly use the official template provided (formatting, fonts, logos)? |  |  |  |  |
| Is the information required by the template properly included in the Deliverable (reviewers, distribution levels, table of contents, EU funding disclaimer)?  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there other remarks about the format of the Deliverable (spelling, grammar, etc)? |  |  |  |  |

**NA: Please mark as “NA” if the question does not concern the specific deliverable**

1. **Suggested improvements** (Changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements - add rows as needed)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Page No.** | **Section** | **Suggested Improvement** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. **Any other observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - add rows as needed)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Page No.** | **Section** | **Observations** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. **Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Deliverable accepted, no changes required |  |
| Deliverable accepted but changes required |  |
| Deliverable not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented |  |