
Event Evaluation- Business Forum: Smart Adaptation to Climate Change: Water, Renewable Energies and Internet of Things (IOT)

March, 2019



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

CLICHA
CLIMATE CHANGE IN AGRICULTURE
Project Nr. 586273-EPP-1-2017-1-EL-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Document Data

Deliverable: Event evaluation - Business Forum: Smart Adaptation to Climate Change: Water, Renewable Energies and Internet of Things (IOT)

Work Package No & Title: WP3 - Quality Assurance

Work Package Leader: P1- AUA (Greece)

Work Package Co-Leaders: P2 - CRETHIDEV (Greece)

Partners involved: P3 National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos" (DEMOKRITOS) - (Greece), P4 University of Jendouba (UJ) - (Tunisia), P5 - The University of Carthage (UCAR) - (Tunisia), P6 - University of Sousse (US) - (Tunisia), P7 - The National Institute of Field Crops (INGC) - (Tunisia), P8 - Centre of Adaptation to Climate Changes (CACC) - (Tunisia), P9 - Latvia University of Life Sciences and Technologies (LLU) - (Latvia), P10 - Università degli Studi di Torino (UNITO) - (Italy)

Distribution level: Partnership (Confidential)

Reviewed by: Consortium

Document Version: 1

Status: Final

Document History

Version	Date	Author/Organization	Changes
0.1	13/03/2019	Fatma Trabelsi, University of Jendouba, ESIM	First Draft
1	11/04/2019	Fatma Trabelsi, University of Jendouba, ESIM	Second Draft

Disclaimer

This project has been funded with the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union.

The information and views set out in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission and/or the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency. Neither the European Commission nor the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency, not any person acting on the Commission's behalf and/or the Education, Audio-visual and Culture Executive Agency's behalf, may be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

All rights are reserved. Reproduction is authorized, except for commercial purposes, provided the source is acknowledged.

Copyright © CLICHA Consortium, 2017-2020

1 Event evaluation

The purpose of this evaluation is to assess delivery and impact of the event regarding the linkage of HEIs, businesses and future employees (students) who will exploit the knowledge gained from the project CLICHA and thus, increase the chances for the better utilization and multiplication of the results of the project.

1.1.1 Participants

The participants of the event were 113 from Tunisian partner organizations and local stakeholders.

1.1.2 Results Presentation

The assessment is presented below, in %, where 1 is Poor and 5 is Very Good

	1	2	3	4	5
(1) What is your opinion of the general organization and facilities of the event?	1,88	2,83	17,92	47,16	30,18
(2) To which extent did the event live up to your expectations?	0,93	6,54	29,91	36,45	26,17
(3) What is your opinion of the presenters/facilitators?	1,08	1,85	24,07	43,52	29,63
(4) How do you evaluate the information and the material that was distributed before and during the event?	3,67	7,34	34,86	33,03	21,10
(5) How do you evaluate the agenda of the event?	1,85	8,33	34,26	30,56	25,00
(6) How do you evaluate the technical resources used?	7,55	14,15	25,47	37,74	15,09
(7) How effective do you think was the methodologies used?	1,83	8,26	28,44	38,53	22,94
(8) How useful was the event?	2,78	3,70	10,19	55,56	27,78
(9) How valuable was the event for your professional growth?	1,82	8,18	33,64	34,55	21,82
(10) How satisfied are you from the level of participation to the event proceedings?		6,60	26,42	48,11	18,87
(11) Do you feel that the targets of the event have been fulfilled?	0,96	4,81	22,12	48,08	24,04
(12) How do you evaluate the accommodation and catering of the event?	1,11	2,22	30,00	37,78	28,89

1.1.3 Conclusions and Recommendations

The assessment was very positive in all indices, since more than 80% of the participants gave scores equal or above 3 and more than half of the participants gave scores between 4 and 5, on the 5-points Likert scale, where 1 is Poor and 5 is Very Good, as also shown in the diagram below:

